

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

George Subh,

S/o Sh. Rustam Masih,

Begowal, Ward No.12,

Tehsil Bholath, District Kapurthala – 144 621

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Inspector General of Police (Admn.),

Commando Battalions, Fort Bahadurgarh,

District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 347 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

Sanjeev Kumar, DSP (for the respondent) 94173-89095

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **George Subh**, filed this RTI application dated **24.2.2020** and sought information regarding employees of SC category, from the PIO o/o **Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **23.6.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.1.2021**.

2. The Complainant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO represented by DSP, Sanjeev Kumar, has in a reply vide Letter No. 24863 dated 24.12.2020 claimed exemption under Section 24(4) of the RTI Act, 2005 read with the Punjab Government Notification No. SO 49/CA.22/2005/S.24/2016 dated: 7.6.2016, wherein Commando Battalions have been kept outside the purview of the RTI Act.

3. This RTI application does not invoke any allegations of human rights abuse or corruption. It is therefore this Commission's considered that the exemption claimed by the respondent is lawful, and is so upheld.

3. There is no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

14.1.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jagshir Singh,
S/o Late Gian Singh,
9/20, Mandi Mulanpur,
Ludhiana – 141 101.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Inspector General of Police,
Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 3188 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345
ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833
SI Angrej Singh (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **14.3.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding FIR No. 181 dated: 12.8.2018**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.6.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.10.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.1.2021**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh and SI Angrej Singh from the Police Station Tripari Patiala, stated that the FIR cited in the RTI application is still under investigation and so sought exemption from the disclosure under Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act 2005. This Commission concurs with the respondent's contention.

3. In view of the above there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner
Chandigarh
14.1.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Sucha Singh,
S/o Fateh Singh,
120, Street No. 1-B,
Vidya Nagar Karheri,
Opposite Punjabi University,
Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
District Patiala.

First Appellant Authority,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 2652 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Sucha Singh (Appellant) 96461-40969

ASI Hakam Singh (for the respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **1.6.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the action taken on the Punjab & Haryana High Court Order dated 7.12.2018,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.7.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **15.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.1.2021**.

2. Both parties are present through Video Conference Facility at Deputy Commissioner's Office at Patiala. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, stated that no action has been taken since the appellant has moved no application as was specifically directed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court vide its order No. CRM-M 54244/2018 dated 7.12.2018. The appellant present at this hearing, has also acknowledged that he did not move any separate application to the SSP Patiala.

Contd. ...2

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 2652 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

3. Under the circumstances there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

14.1.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Narinder Singh,

S/o Harbhajan Singh,

Village Budhanpur,

Post Office Bahadurgarh,

Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

District Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 794 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

ASI Hakam Singh (for the respondent) 62399-82833

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Narinder Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **31.12.2019** and sought information **regarding any report that he (complainant) has been absent from duty after 28.8.1993**, from the PIO o/o **SSP, Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **19.10.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.1.2021**

2. The complainant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, present through Video Conference Facility at Deputy Commissioner's Office at Patiala, stated that a reply with the requested information was sent to the complainant vide a letter dated 14.1.2021. The complainant, in a letter to this Commission, has acknowledged that he has received the reply but has questioned the delay caused by the respondent PIO.

3. Cautioning the respondent PIO against any future delay in addressing RTI requests but also acknowledging the upheaval caused by the ongoing Covid-19 Pandemic, this Commission sees no further cause for action and this complaint case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

14.1.2021